



1609 State Street • Bettendorf, IA 52722 • T: (563) 344-4000 • www.bettendorf.org

The City of Bettendorf, Iowa

Report of Results 2006



National Research Center, Inc.
3005 30th St. • Boulder, CO 80301 • T: (303) 444-7863 • F: (303) 444-1145 • www.n-r-c.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Survey Background.....	1
About The National Citizen Survey™	1
Understanding the Results	2
Survey Administration.....	2
Survey Validity.....	2
Use of the “Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor” Response Scale	4
“Don’t Know” Responses	4
Putting Evaluations Onto a 100-Point Scale	5
Community Life.....	6
Quality of Life.....	6
Ratings of Community Characteristics in Bettendorf	8
Perceptions of Safety.....	15
Community Participation	17
Local Government	19
Public Trust	19
Service Provided by Bettendorf.....	21
The City of Bettendorf Employees	29
Appendix A: Frequency of Responses to All Survey Questions.....	31
Appendix B: Survey Methodology.....	43
Sampling.....	43
Survey Administration.....	43
Response Rate and Confidence Intervals	43
Weighting and Analyzing the Data.....	44
Appendix C: Survey Materials	46

SURVEY BACKGROUND

About The National Citizen Survey™

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA).

The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality survey methods and comparable results across The National Citizen Survey™ jurisdictions. Participating households are selected at random and the household member who responds is selected without bias. Multiple mailings give each household more than one chance to participate with self-addressed and postage paid envelopes. Results are statistically re-weighted to reflect the proper demographic composition of the entire community.

The National Citizen Survey™ customized for this jurisdiction was developed in close cooperation with local jurisdiction staff. The City of Bettendorf staff selected items from a menu of questions about services and community problems; they defined the jurisdiction boundaries NRC used for sampling; and they provided the appropriate letterhead and signatures for mailings. City of Bettendorf staff also determined local interest in a variety of add-on options to The National Citizen Survey™ Basic Service.

UNDERSTANDING THE RESULTS

Survey Administration

Following the mailing of a pre-survey notification postcard to a random sample of 1,200 households, surveys were mailed to the same residences approximately one week later. A reminder letter and a new survey were sent to the same households after two weeks. Of the mailed postcards, 44 were undeliverable due to vacant or “not found” addresses. Completed surveys were received from 533 residents, for a response rate of 46%. Typically, the response rates obtained on citizen surveys range from 25% to 40%.

It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from surveys by a “level of confidence” (or margin of error). The 95 percent confidence level for this survey of 1,200 residents is generally no greater than plus or minus 5 percentage points around any given percent reported for the entire sample.

The results were weighted to reflect the demographic profile of all residents in the City of Bettendorf. (For more information on the survey methodology, see Appendix B. A copy of the survey materials can be found in Appendix C.)

Survey Validity

The question of survey validity has two parts: 1) how can we be confident that the results from our sample are representative of the results we would have gotten had we administered the survey to the entire population? and 2) how closely do the perspectives recorded on the survey reflect what residents really believe or do?

To answer the first question, we use the best survey research practices for the resources spent to assure that the results from the sample reflect the opinions of residents in the entire jurisdiction. These practices include:

1. Using a mail-out/mail-back methodology, which typically gets a higher response rate than phone for the same dollars spent.
2. Selecting households at random within the jurisdiction.
3. Over-sampling attached units to improve response from hard-to-reach, lower income, or younger apartment dwellers.

4. Selecting the respondent within the household using an unbiased sampling procedure¹.
5. Contacting potential respondents three times to encourage response from people who may have different opinions or habits than those who would respond with only a single prompt.
6. Soliciting response on jurisdiction letterhead signed by the highest ranking elected official or staff member.
7. Providing a self-addressed, postage-paid return envelope.
8. Offering the survey in Spanish when appropriate and requested by City officials.
9. Using the most recent available information about the characteristics of jurisdiction residents to re-weight the data to reflect the demographics of the population.

The answer to the second question about how closely the perspectives recorded on the survey reflect what residents really believe or do is more complex. Resident responses to surveys are influenced by a variety of factors. For questions about service quality, residents' expectations for service quality play a role as well as the "objective" quality of the service provided, the way the resident perceives the entire community (that is, the context in which the service is provided), the scale on which the resident is asked to record her opinion and, of course, the opinion, itself, that a resident holds about the service. Similarly a resident's report of certain behaviors is colored by what he or she believes is the socially desirable response (e.g. reporting tolerant behaviors toward "oppressed groups," likelihood of voting a tax increase for services to poor people, use of alternative modes of travel to work besides the single occupancy vehicle), her memory of the actual behavior (if it is not a question speculating about future actions, like a vote), her confidence that she can be honest without suffering any negative consequences (thus the need for anonymity) as well as the actual behavior itself.

How closely survey results come to recording the way a person really feels or behaves often is measured by the coincidence of reported behavior with observed current behavior (e.g. driving habits), reported intentions to behave with observed future behavior (e.g. voting choices) or reported opinions about current community quality with objective characteristics of the community (e.g. feelings of safety correlated with rates of crime). There is a body of scientific literature that has investigated the relationship between reported behaviors and actual behaviors. Well-conducted surveys, by and large, do capture true respondent behaviors or intentions to act with great accuracy. Predictions of voting outcomes tend to be quite accurate using survey research, as do reported behaviors that are not about highly sensitive issues (e.g. family abuse or other

¹ The birthday method requests that the respondent in the household be the adult (18 years old or older) who most recently had a birthday, irrespective of year of birth.

illegal or morally sanctioned activities). For self-reports about highly sensitive issues, statistical adjustments can be made to correct for the respondents' tendency to report what they think the "correct" response should be.

Research on the correlation of resident opinion about service quality and "objective" ratings of service quality tend to be ambiguous, some showing stronger relationships than others. NRC's own research has demonstrated that residents who report the lowest ratings of street repair live in communities with objectively worse street conditions than those who report high ratings of street repair (based on road quality, delay in street repair, number of road repair employees). Similarly, the lowest rated fire services appear to be "objectively" worse than the highest rated fire services (expenditures per capita, response time, "professional" status of fire fighters, breadth of services and training provided). Whether some research confirms or disconfirms that relationship between what residents think about a community and what can be seen "objectively" in a community, we have argued that resident opinion is a perspective that cannot be ignored by government administrators. Elsewhere we have written, "If you collect trash three times a day but residents think that your trash haul is lousy, you still have a problem."

Use of the "Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor" Response Scale

The scale on which respondents are asked to record their opinions about service and community quality is "excellent," "good," "fair" or "poor" (EGFP). This scale has important advantages over other scale possibilities (very good to very bad; very satisfied to very dissatisfied; strongly agree to strongly disagree, as examples). EGFP is used by the plurality of jurisdictions conducting citizen surveys across the U.S. The advantage of familiarity is one we did not want to dismiss because elected officials, staff and residents already are acquainted with opinion surveys measured this way. EGFP also has the advantage of offering three positive options, rather than only two, over which a resident can offer an opinion. While symmetrical scales often are the right choice in other measurement tasks, we have found that ratings of almost every local government service in almost every jurisdiction tend, on average, to be positive (that is, above the scale midpoint). Therefore, to permit finer distinctions among positively rated services, EGFP offers three options across which to spread those ratings. EGFP is more neutral because it requires no positive statement of service quality to judge (as agree-disagree scales require) and, finally, EGFP intends to measure absolute quality of service delivery or community quality (unlike satisfaction scales which ignore residents' perceptions of quality in favor of their report on the acceptability of the level of service offered).

"Don't Know" Responses

On many of the questions in the survey respondents may answer "don't know." The proportion of respondents giving this reply is shown in the full set of responses included in Appendix A. However, these responses have been removed from the analyses

presented in the body of the report. In other words, the tables and graphs display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item.

For two of the items related to crime victimization and crime reporting, “don’t know” responses were not removed. These questions were not evaluative; rather, respondents were asked if they or any member of their household had been a victim of a crime within the last year. If they were, they were then asked whether the crime had been reported to police.

Putting Evaluations Onto a 100-Point Scale

Although responses to many of the evaluative questions were made on a 4 point scale with 4 representing the best rating and 1 the worst, many of the results in this summary are reported on a common scale where 0 is the worst possible rating and 100 is the best possible rating. If everyone reported “excellent,” then the result would be 100 on the 100-point scale. Likewise, if all respondents gave a “poor” rating, the result would be 0 on the 100-point scale. If the average rating for quality of life was “good,” then the result would be 67 on a 100-point scale; “fair” would be 33 on the 100-point scale. The 95 percent confidence interval around an average score on the 100-point scale is no greater than plus or minus 5 points based on all respondents.

COMMUNITY LIFE

The National Citizen Survey™ contained many questions related to the life of residents in the community. Survey participants were asked to rate their overall quality of life, as well as other aspects of quality of life in Bettendorf. They also evaluated characteristics of the community, and gave their perceptions of safety in the City of Bettendorf. The questionnaire assessed use of the amenities of the community and involvement by respondents in the civic and economic life of Bettendorf.

Quality of Life

When asked to rate the overall quality of life in Bettendorf, 36% of respondents thought it was “excellent.” Only 1% rated overall quality of life as “poor.” All of the responses of residents who had an opinion about the overall quality of life in Bettendorf are shown in Figure 1 below. Other ratings can be seen in the figures on the following page.

Figure 1: Overall Quality of Life in Bettendorf





Figure 2: Quality of Life Ratings



Quality of Life Ratings


	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to live?	44%	52%	4%	1%	100%	80
How do you rate your neighborhood as a place to live?	39%	47%	11%	3%	100%	74
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to raise children?	52%	44%	3%	0%	100%	83
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to work?	25%	45%	24%	6%	100%	63
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to retire?	20%	43%	24%	13%	100%	57
How do you rate the overall quality of life in Bettendorf?	36%	58%	5%	1%	100%	76

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.

Ratings of Community Characteristics in Bettendorf

The highest rated characteristics of Bettendorf were overall image/reputation, educational opportunities, and overall appearance of Bettendorf. When asked about potential problems in Bettendorf, the two concerns rated by the highest proportion of respondents as a “major problem” were taxes and drugs. The rate of population growth in Bettendorf was viewed as “too fast” by 30% of respondents, while 6% thought it was “too slow.”

Figure 3: Characteristics of the Community: General and Opportunities




Characteristics of the Community: General and Opportunities

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Bettendorf as a whole:	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
Sense of community	21%	57%	21%	1%	100%	66
Openness and acceptance of the community towards people of diverse backgrounds	10%	52%	33%	5%	100%	56
Overall appearance of Bettendorf	28%	55%	15%	2%	100%	70
Opportunities to attend cultural activities	13%	38%	36%	12%	100%	51
Shopping opportunities	11%	36%	38%	14%	100%	48
Air quality	26%	59%	13%	3%	100%	69
Recreational opportunities	22%	49%	23%	5%	100%	63
Job opportunities	4%	33%	42%	21%	100%	40
Educational opportunities	34%	51%	12%	3%	100%	72
Overall image/reputation of Bettendorf	35%	56%	8%	1%	100%	75
Overall quality of new development in Bettendorf	25%	57%	15%	3%	100%	68

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.

Figure 4: Characteristics of the Community: Access




Characteristics of the Community: Access

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Bettendorf as a whole:						Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	
Access to affordable quality housing	11%	42%	34%	14%	100%	50
Access to affordable quality child care	15%	49%	30%	5%	100%	58
Access to affordable quality health care	25%	47%	21%	6%	100%	64
Access to affordable quality food	25%	57%	15%	3%	100%	68

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.

Figure 5: Characteristics of the Community: Mobility



Characteristics of the Community: Mobility

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Bettendorf as a whole:						Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	
Ease of car travel in Bettendorf	32%	55%	9%	4%	100%	72
Ease of bus travel in Bettendorf	17%	50%	24%	9%	100%	58
Ease of bicycle travel in Bettendorf	20%	54%	20%	6%	100%	63
Ease of walking in Bettendorf	30%	53%	15%	2%	100%	71

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.

Figure 6: Ratings of Potential Problems in Bettendorf






Figure 7: Ratings of Rates of Growth in Bettendorf



Thirty-one percent of Bettendorf residents expected that the coming six months would have a somewhat or very positive impact on their family, while 21% felt that the economic future would be somewhat or very negative.

Figure 8: Perceptions of Economy

What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be...



Perceptions of Safety

When evaluating safety in the community, 86% of respondents felt “somewhat” or “very safe” from violent crimes in Bettendorf. In their neighborhood after dark, 88% of survey participants felt “somewhat” or “very safe.”

As assessed by the survey, 9% of households reported that at least one member had been the victim of one or more crimes in the past year. Of those who had been the victim of a crime, 76% had reported it to police.

Figure 9: Ratings of Safety from Various Problems in Bettendorf




Figure 10: Ratings of Safety in Various Areas in Bettendorf




Figure 11: Percent of Respondents' Households That Were Victim of a Crime in the Last 12 Months






Figure 12: Percent of Respondents' Households That Were Victim of a Crime Who Reported the Crime



Community Participation


Participation in the civic, social and economic life of Bettendorf during the past year was assessed on the survey. The proportion of respondents engaging in various activities is shown in the chart below. Among those completing the questionnaire, 92% reported visiting a park in Bettendorf in the past year and 78% had read City of Bettendorf Newsletter.

Figure 13: Percent of Respondents Engaging in Various Activities in Bettendorf in the Past Year



Voter status was also estimated,² with 76% saying that they had voted in the last election.

Figure 14: Voter Status and Activity



Voter Status and Activity

	No	Yes	Total
Are you registered to vote in your jurisdiction?	8%	92%	100%
Did you vote in the last election?	24%	76%	100%
Are you likely to vote in the next election?	6%	94%	100%

² In general on a survey, a greater proportion of people will report having voted, than actual voting records verify.


LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Several aspects of the government of the City of Bettendorf were evaluated by residents completing The National Citizen Survey™. They were asked how much trust they placed in their local government, and what they felt about the services they receive from the City of Bettendorf. Those who had any contact with a City of Bettendorf employee in the past year gave their impressions of the most recent encounter.

Public Trust

When asked to evaluate whether they felt they received good value for taxes they pay, residents gave an average rating of 70 on a 100-point scale.

Figure 15: Ratings of Public Trust



Ratings of Public Trust

The City of Bettendorf Citizen Survey

Local Government


Please rate the following statements:	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Total	Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Strongly agree, 0=Strongly disagree)
I receive good value for the City of Bettendorf taxes I pay	24%	48%	16%	7%	5%	100%	70
I am pleased with the overall direction that the City of Bettendorf is taking	24%	51%	18%	6%	2%	100%	72
The City of Bettendorf government welcomes citizen involvement	23%	46%	23%	4%	3%	100%	71
The City of Bettendorf government listens to citizens	18%	44%	29%	6%	4%	100%	66

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.

Service Provided by Bettendorf


The responses of residents with an opinion about the overall quality of services provided by Bettendorf are shown in Figure 16 below. These responses result in an average rating of 73 on the 100-point scale. Average ratings given to specific services are shown on the following pages.

Figure 16: Overall Quality of Services Provided by the City of Bettendorf



On average, residents of Bettendorf gave the highest evaluations to their own local government and the lowest average rating to the federal government.

Figure 17: Rating of Overall Quality of Services Provided by Various Levels of Government




Overall Quality of Services: City of Bettendorf, Federal Government and State Government

Overall, how would you rate the quality of services provided by...					Total	Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
The City of Bettendorf	30%	59%	10%	1%	100%	73
The Federal Government	8%	40%	40%	12%	100%	48
The State Government	7%	52%	35%	7%	100%	53

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.


Figure 18: Quality of Public Safety Services



Quality of Public Safety Services						
How do you rate the quality of each of the following services?	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
Police services	44%	46%	9%	1%	100%	78
Fire services	50%	44%	5%	1%	100%	81
Crime prevention	26%	57%	15%	2%	100%	69
Fire prevention and education	33%	58%	9%	0%	100%	75
Traffic enforcement	26%	53%	17%	5%	100%	67

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.

Figure 19: Quality of Transportation Services




Quality of Transportation Services

How do you rate the quality of each of the following services?					Total	Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
Street repair	17%	40%	33%	9%	100%	55
Street cleaning	25%	50%	21%	4%	100%	66
Street lighting	22%	47%	24%	7%	100%	61
Snow removal	41%	45%	10%	4%	100%	75
Sidewalk maintenance	21%	53%	20%	6%	100%	63
Traffic signal timing	16%	48%	27%	10%	100%	56
Amount of public parking	19%	54%	24%	3%	100%	63
Bus/transit services	27%	51%	20%	3%	100%	67

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.

Figure 20: Quality of Leisure Services




Quality of Leisure Services

How do you rate the quality of each of the following services?					Total	Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
City parks	49%	45%	6%	0%	100%	81
Recreation programs or classes	31%	55%	12%	2%	100%	72
Range/variety of recreation programs and classes	29%	53%	15%	3%	100%	70
Recreation centers/facilities	28%	51%	18%	4%	100%	68
Accessibility of parks	43%	50%	7%	0%	100%	79
Accessibility of recreation centers/facilities	34%	52%	12%	2%	100%	72
Appearance/maintenance of parks	41%	53%	6%	0%	100%	78
Appearance of recreation centers/facilities	31%	55%	13%	1%	100%	72
Public library services	54%	40%	5%	0%	100%	83
Variety of library materials	52%	42%	6%	1%	100%	81

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.


Figure 21: Quality of Utility Services



Quality of Utility Services						
How do you rate the quality of each of the following services?	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
Garbage collection	46%	45%	7%	2%	100%	79
Recycling	49%	42%	8%	1%	100%	79
Yard waste pick-up	45%	42%	9%	4%	100%	76
Storm drainage	18%	55%	20%	7%	100%	62
Drinking water	30%	51%	16%	3%	100%	69
Sewer services	27%	58%	13%	2%	100%	70

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.

Figure 22: Quality of Planning and Code Enforcement Services




Quality of Planning and Code Enforcement Services

How do you rate the quality of each of the following services?	Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)				Total	Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
Land use, planning and zoning	16%	46%	31%	8%	100%	56
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc)	16%	50%	25%	9%	100%	58
Animal control	22%	52%	21%	6%	100%	63
Economic development	14%	54%	25%	7%	100%	59

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.

Figure 23: Quality of Services to Special Populations and Other Services



Quality of Services to Special Populations and Other Services

How do you rate the quality of each of the following services?					Total	Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
Health services	24%	55%	18%	3%	100%	67
Services to seniors	24%	54%	17%	5%	100%	66
Services to youth	21%	51%	24%	4%	100%	63
Services to low-income people	18%	33%	34%	16%	100%	51
Public information services	32%	51%	16%	1%	100%	71
Municipal courts	27%	55%	12%	6%	100%	68
Public schools	48%	45%	5%	1%	100%	80
Cable television	19%	38%	26%	17%	100%	53

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.

The City of Bettendorf Employees

Impressions of the City of Bettendorf employees were assessed on the questionnaire. Those who had been in contact with a City of Bettendorf employee in the past year (55%) rated their overall impression as 78 on a 100-point scale.

Figure 24: Percent of Respondents Who Had Contact with a City of Bettendorf Employee





Figure 25: Ratings of Contact with the City of Bettendorf Employees



Ratings of Contact with City of Bettendorf Employees

What was your impression of employees of the City of Bettendorf in your most recent contact?						Average rating on a 100-point scale (100=Excellent, 0=Poor)
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	
Knowledge	48%	43%	7%	2%	100%	79
Responsiveness	51%	33%	11%	5%	100%	76
Courtesy	57%	29%	8%	5%	100%	80
Overall Impression	51%	35%	10%	4%	100%	78

Note: "don't know" responses have been removed.

APPENDIX A: FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES TO ALL SURVEY QUESTIONS

Question 1: Quality of Life Ratings

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know	Total
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to live?	44%	52%	4%	1%	0%	100%
How do you rate your neighborhood as a place to live?	39%	47%	11%	3%	0%	100%
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to raise children?	49%	41%	3%	0%	7%	100%
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to work?	17%	31%	16%	4%	31%	100%
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to retire?	16%	34%	19%	11%	20%	100%
How do you rate the overall quality of life in Bettendorf?	36%	57%	5%	1%	0%	100%

Question 2: Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Bettendorf as a whole

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know	Total
Sense of community	20%	55%	21%	1%	4%	100%
Openness and acceptance of the community towards people of diverse backgrounds	9%	46%	29%	5%	10%	100%
Overall appearance of Bettendorf	28%	55%	15%	2%	0%	100%
Opportunities to attend cultural activities	12%	35%	33%	11%	8%	100%
Shopping opportunities	11%	36%	38%	14%	0%	100%
Air quality	25%	57%	12%	3%	2%	100%
Recreational opportunities	22%	48%	22%	5%	2%	100%
Job opportunities	3%	27%	34%	17%	19%	100%
Access to affordable quality housing	10%	40%	32%	13%	5%	100%
Access to affordable quality child care	9%	28%	17%	3%	42%	100%
Access to affordable quality health care	23%	42%	19%	5%	10%	100%
Access to affordable quality food	24%	57%	15%	3%	1%	100%
Ease of car travel in Bettendorf	32%	55%	9%	4%	1%	100%
Ease of bus travel in Bettendorf	6%	19%	9%	3%	62%	100%
Ease of bicycle travel in Bettendorf	14%	39%	15%	4%	28%	100%
Ease of walking in Bettendorf	29%	51%	14%	2%	4%	100%
Educational opportunities	31%	46%	11%	2%	10%	100%
Overall image/reputation of Bettendorf	35%	56%	8%	1%	1%	100%
Overall quality of new development in Bettendorf	24%	54%	15%	3%	5%	100%

Question 3: Please rate the speed of growth in the following categories in Bettendorf over the past two years

	Much too slow	Somewhat too slow	Right amount	Somewhat too fast	Much too fast	Don't know	Total
Population growth	1%	3%	51%	21%	3%	20%	100%
Retail growth (stores, restaurants etc.)	4%	27%	48%	8%	4%	9%	100%
Jobs growth	10%	35%	18%	1%	0%	36%	100%

The City of Bettendorf Citizen Survey

Appendix A: Survey Frequencies

Question 4: To what degree are the following problems in Bettendorf

	Not a problem	Minor problem	Moderate problem	Major problem	Don't know	Total
Crime	14%	49%	28%	2%	7%	100%
Drugs	12%	28%	31%	7%	23%	100%
Too much growth	38%	27%	17%	5%	13%	100%
Lack of growth	51%	21%	10%	4%	14%	100%
Graffiti	38%	40%	8%	1%	13%	100%
Noise	40%	38%	14%	4%	4%	100%
Run down buildings, weed lots, or junk vehicles	30%	44%	15%	3%	7%	100%
Taxes	19%	28%	30%	15%	9%	100%
Traffic congestion	34%	38%	20%	6%	3%	100%
Unsupervised youth	24%	40%	18%	5%	13%	100%
Homelessness	30%	36%	11%	3%	20%	100%
Weeds	40%	40%	9%	2%	10%	100%
Absence of communications from the City of Bettendorf translated into languages other than English	41%	7%	2%	2%	48%	100%
Unwanted local businesses	54%	16%	3%	1%	26%	100%
Toxic waste or other environmental hazard(s)	41%	16%	4%	1%	39%	100%

Question 5: Please rate how safe you feel from the following occurring to you in Bettendorf

	Very safe	Somewhat safe	Neither safe nor unsafe	Somewhat unsafe	Very unsafe	Don't know	Total
Violent crime (e.g., rape, assault, robbery)	44%	39%	8%	5%	1%	3%	100%
Property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft)	22%	49%	12%	15%	1%	1%	100%
Fire	40%	40%	12%	2%	2%	3%	100%

The City of Bettendorf Citizen Survey

Appendix A: Survey Frequencies

Question 6: Please rate how safe you feel:							
	Very safe	Somewhat safe	Neither safe nor unsafe	Somewhat unsafe	Very unsafe	Don't know	Total
In your neighborhood during the day	78%	19%	1%	1%	0%	0%	100%
In your neighborhood after dark	45%	43%	5%	6%	1%	1%	100%
In Bettendorf's downtown area during the day	56%	27%	5%	3%	0%	9%	100%
In Bettendorf's downtown area after dark	16%	36%	16%	14%	4%	14%	100%
In Bettendorf's parks during the day	62%	28%	3%	1%	0%	5%	100%
In Bettendorf's parks after dark	9%	33%	17%	19%	3%	18%	100%

Question 7: During the past twelve months, were you or anyone in your household the victim of any crime?

	No	Yes	Don't know	Total
During the past twelve months, were you or anyone in your household the victim of any crime?	91%	9%	0%	100%

Question 8: If yes, was this crime (these crimes) reported to the police?

	No	Yes	Don't know	Total
If yes, was this crime (these crimes) reported to the police?	22%	76%	3%	100%

Question 9: In the last 12 months, about how many times, if ever, have you or other household members done the following things in the City of Bettendorf?

	Never	Once or twice	3 to 12 times	13 to 26 times	More than 26 times	Total
Used Bettendorf public libraries or their services	15%	20%	37%	15%	13%	100%
Used Bettendorf recreation centers	72%	19%	6%	2%	2%	100%
Participated in a recreation program or activity	46%	25%	18%	5%	5%	100%
Visited a Bettendorf park	8%	19%	37%	19%	17%	100%
Ridden a local bus within Bettendorf	91%	3%	2%	2%	2%	100%
Attended a meeting of local elected officials or other local public meeting	78%	17%	3%	1%	0%	100%
Watched a meeting of local elected officials or other local public meeting on City's cable television Channel 9	50%	24%	20%	3%	3%	100%
Recycled used paper, cans or bottles from your home	10%	2%	16%	15%	57%	100%
Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Bettendorf	55%	19%	12%	7%	7%	100%
Read City of Bettendorf Newsletter	22%	22%	25%	11%	19%	100%
Used the Internet for anything	17%	2%	7%	5%	69%	100%
Used the Internet to conduct business with Bettendorf	66%	19%	9%	1%	5%	100%
Used Bettendorf's Family Museum	45%	32%	16%	4%	3%	100%
Used Bettendorf's Life Fitness Center	76%	8%	5%	3%	8%	100%

Question 10: How do you rate the quality of each of the following services in Bettendorf?						
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know	Total
Police services	41%	43%	8%	1%	7%	100%
Fire services	42%	37%	4%	1%	16%	100%
Crime prevention	22%	49%	12%	2%	15%	100%
Fire prevention and education	25%	44%	7%	0%	24%	100%
Traffic enforcement	24%	48%	16%	4%	8%	100%
Garbage collection	44%	43%	6%	2%	6%	100%
Recycling	45%	39%	8%	1%	7%	100%
Yard waste pick-up	39%	36%	8%	3%	14%	100%
Street repair	17%	38%	32%	9%	4%	100%
Street cleaning	24%	48%	20%	4%	4%	100%
Street lighting	22%	46%	24%	7%	2%	100%
Snow removal	38%	42%	9%	3%	8%	100%
Sidewalk maintenance	18%	46%	18%	5%	13%	100%
Traffic signal timing	15%	46%	26%	9%	4%	100%
Amount of public parking	16%	47%	21%	3%	12%	100%
Bus/transit services	10%	19%	7%	1%	63%	100%
Storm drainage	15%	45%	16%	5%	18%	100%
Drinking water	29%	49%	16%	3%	4%	100%
Sewer services	24%	52%	12%	2%	11%	100%
City parks	48%	43%	6%	0%	3%	100%
Recreation programs or classes	22%	38%	8%	2%	31%	100%
Range/variety of recreation programs and classes	20%	36%	10%	2%	31%	100%
Recreation centers/facilities	22%	40%	14%	3%	22%	100%
Accessibility of parks	41%	47%	6%	0%	5%	100%
Accessibility of recreation centers/facilities	28%	44%	10%	2%	16%	100%
Appearance/maintenance of parks	40%	52%	6%	0%	2%	100%
Appearance of recreation centers/facilities	25%	44%	10%	1%	19%	100%
Land use, planning and zoning	11%	34%	23%	6%	26%	100%
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc)	13%	41%	20%	7%	19%	100%
Animal control	17%	41%	16%	5%	20%	100%
Economic development	12%	45%	21%	5%	17%	100%

Question 10: How do you rate the quality of each of the following services in Bettendorf?

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know	Total
Health services	21%	48%	16%	2%	12%	100%
Services to seniors	11%	25%	8%	2%	55%	100%
Services to youth	13%	30%	15%	3%	40%	100%
Services to low-income people	7%	13%	14%	6%	59%	100%
Public library services	50%	37%	5%	0%	7%	100%
Variety of library materials	47%	38%	5%	1%	10%	100%
Public information services	25%	41%	13%	1%	20%	100%
Municipal courts	9%	18%	4%	2%	67%	100%
Public schools	40%	38%	5%	1%	16%	100%
Cable television	16%	31%	21%	14%	18%	100%

Question 11: Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by...

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know	Total
The City of Bettendorf	30%	57%	10%	1%	2%	100%
The Federal Government	7%	35%	36%	11%	10%	100%
The State Government	6%	46%	31%	6%	11%	100%

Question 12: Have you had any in-person or phone contact with an employee of the City of Bettendorf within the last 12 months?

	No	Yes	Total
Have you had any in-person or phone contact with an employee of the City of Bettendorf within the last 12 months?	45%	55%	100%

Question 13: What was your impression of the employees of the City of Bettendorf in your most recent contact?

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know	Total
Knowledge	46%	42%	7%	2%	2%	100%
Responsiveness	49%	32%	11%	5%	3%	100%
Courtesy	56%	29%	8%	5%	2%	100%
Overall Impression	51%	34%	10%	4%	2%	100%

The City of Bettendorf Citizen Survey

Appendix A: Survey Frequencies

Question 14: Please rate your agreement or disagreement with the following statements.

	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know	Total
I receive good value for the City of Bettendorf taxes I pay	22%	43%	15%	7%	4%	10%	100%
I am pleased with the overall direction that the City of Bettendorf is taking	23%	48%	17%	6%	2%	5%	100%
The City of Bettendorf government welcomes citizen involvement	19%	37%	19%	3%	2%	21%	100%
The City of Bettendorf government listens to citizens	14%	35%	23%	4%	3%	21%	100%

Question 15: What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months?

	Very positive	Somewhat positive	Neutral	Somewhat negative	Very negative	Total
What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:	3%	28%	47%	18%	3%	100%

Question 16: Do you live within the City limits of the City of Bettendorf?

	No	Yes	Total
Do you live within the limits of the City of Bettendorf?	3%	97%	100%

Question 17: Employment Status

	No	Yes	Total
Are you currently employed?	26%	74%	100%

Question 17a: Usual Mode of Transportation to Work

What one method of transportation do you usually use (for the longest distance of your commute) to travel to work?

Motorized vehicle	97%
Bus, Rail, Subway, or other public transportation	1%
Work at home	2%
Other	0%
Total	100%

Question 17b: Drive Alone or Carpool

	No	Yes	Total
If you checked the motorized vehicle (e.g. car, truck, van, motorcycle, etc.) box in 17a, do other people usually ride with you to or from work?	89%	11%	100%

Usual Mode of Transportation to Work, Including Carpooling

Usual mode of transportation to work

Motorized vehicle, no others (SOV)	87%
Motorized vehicle, with others (MOV)	10%
Bus, rail, subway, or other public transportation	1%
Work at home	2%
Other	0%
Total	100%

Question 18: Length of Residency

How many years have you lived in Bettendorf?

Less than 2 years	15%
2 to 5 years	17%
6 to 10 years	13%
11 to 20 years	22%
More than 20 years	32%
Total	100%

Question 19: Type of Housing Unit

Which best describes the building you live in?

One family house detached from any other houses	75%
One family house attached to one or more houses	4%
Building with two or more apartments or condominiums	20%
Mobile home	0%
Other	1%
Total	100%

Question 20: Tenure Status

	Rented for cash or occupied without cash payment?	Owned by you or someone in this house	Total
Is this house, apartment, or mobile home...	23%	77%	100%

Questions 21 to 24: Household Characteristics

	No	Yes	Total
Do any children age 12 or under live in your household?	67%	33%	100%
Do any teenagers ages 13 through 17 live in your household?	81%	19%	100%
Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older?	81%	19%	100%
Does any member of your household have a physical handicap or is anyone disabled?	89%	11%	100%

Question 25: Education

What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

12th Grade or less, no diploma	2%
High school diploma	16%
Some college, no degree	19%
Associate's degree (e.g. AA, AS)	10%
Bachelor's degree (e.g. BA, AB, BS)	30%
Graduate degree or professional degree	23%
Total	100%

Question 26: Annual Household Income

How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year?

Less than \$24,999	11%
\$25,000 to \$49,999	24%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	38%
\$100,000 or more	27%
Total	100%

Question 27: Ethnicity

	No	Yes	Total
Are you Spanish/Hispanic/Latino?	98%	2%	100%

Question 28: Race

	Percent of Respondents
American Indian or Alaskan native	2%
Asian or Pacific Islander	1%
Black, African American	1%
White/Caucasian	96%
Other	1%
Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one category.	

Question 29: Age

In which category is your age?

18 to 24 years	2%
25 to 34 years	23%
35 to 44 years	18%
45 to 54 years	27%
55 to 64 years	13%
65 to 74 years	8%
75 years or older	10%
Total	100%

Question 30: Gender

	Female	Male	Total
What is your gender?	52%	48%	100%

Questions 31 to 33: Voter Status and Activity

	No	Yes	Don't know	Total
Are you registered to vote in your jurisdiction?	7%	90%	3%	100%
Did you vote in the last election?	24%	76%	0%	100%
Are you likely to vote in the next election?	5%	87%	8%	100%

APPENDIX B: SURVEY METHODOLOGY

The National Citizen Survey™ was developed to provide local jurisdictions an accurate, affordable and easy way to assess and interpret resident opinion about important community issues. While standardization of question wording and survey methods provide the rigor to assure valid results, each jurisdiction has enough flexibility to construct a customized version of The National Citizen Survey™ that asks residents about key local services and important local issues.

Results offer insight into residents' perspectives about local government performance and as such provide important benchmarks for jurisdictions working on performance measurement. The National Citizen Survey™ is designed to help with budget, land use and strategic planning as well as to communicate with local residents. The National Citizen Survey™ permits questions to test support for local policies and answers to its questions also speak to community trust and involvement in community-building activities as well as to resident demographic characteristics.

Sampling

Approximately 1,200 households were selected to participate in the survey using a stratified systematic sampling method.³ An individual within each household was selected using the birthday method.⁴

Survey Administration

Selected households received three mailings, one week apart, beginning November 2, 2006. The first mailing was a prenotification postcard announcing the upcoming survey. The next mailing contained a letter from the city administrator inviting the household to participate, a questionnaire and postage-paid return envelope. The final mailing contained a reminder letter and another survey and postage-paid return envelope. Completed surveys were collected over the following 5 weeks.

Response Rate and Confidence Intervals

Of the 1,156 eligible households, 533 completed the survey providing a response rate of 46%. Approximately 44 addresses sampled were "vacant" or "not found."⁵ In general, the response rates obtained on citizen surveys range from 25% to 40%. The sample of households was selected systematically and impartially from a list of residences in the

³ Systematic sampling is a method that closely approximates random sampling by selecting every Nth address until the desired number of households is chosen.

⁴ The birthday method is a process to remove bias in the selection of a person within the household by asking the "person whose birthday has most recently passed" to complete the questionnaire. The underlying assumption in this method is that day of birth has no relationship to the way people respond to surveys but leaving selection of respondent to household members will lead to bias.

⁵ "Eligible" households refer to addresses that belong to residences that are not vacant within the City of Bettendorf.

United States maintained by the U.S. postal service and sold to NRC through an independent vendor. For each household, one adult, selected in an unbiased fashion, was asked to complete the survey. The sample drawn for Bettendorf used USPS data to approximate the geographic boundaries of the jurisdiction, though some households just outside the city limits may have received surveys. The survey completers who technically do not reside in the jurisdiction may choose to respond to the survey because they feel an affiliation with the jurisdiction and its services. Local governments often have a sphere of influence – providing in-jurisdiction services that perimeter-residents use or even providing services outside the jurisdiction boundaries.

In theory, in 95 cases out of 100, the results based on such samples will differ by no more than 5 percentage points in either direction from what would have been obtained had responses been collected from all Bettendorf adults. This difference is also called a “margin of error.”⁶ This difference from the presumed population finding is referred to as the sampling error. For subgroups of responses, the margin of sampling error is larger. In addition to sampling error, the practical difficulties of conducting any survey of the public may introduce other sources of error. For example, the failure of some of the selected adults to participate in the sample or the difficulty of including all sectors of the population, such as residents of some institutions or group residences, may lead to somewhat different results.

Weighting and Analyzing the Data

The surveys were analyzed using the SPSS statistical package. Frequency distributions and average (mean) ratings are presented in the body of the report.

The demographic characteristics of the sample were compared to those of the City of Bettendorf as reflected in the information sent by staff to National Research Center, Inc. When necessary, survey results were statistically adjusted to reflect the known population profile.

Generally, only two variables are used in a weighting scheme. Known population characteristics are compared to the characteristics of survey respondents. Generally, characteristics chosen as weighting variables are selected because they are not in proportion to what is shown in a jurisdiction’s demographic profile and because differences in opinion are observed between subgroups of these characteristics. The two socioeconomic characteristics that were used to weight the survey results were gender/age and tenure. Other discrepancies between the whole population and the sample were also aided by the weighting due to the intercorrelation of many socioeconomic characteristics, although the percentages are not always identical in the sample compared to the population norms. The results of the weighting scheme are presented in the table on the following page.

⁶ The margin of error was calculated using the following formula: $1.96 * \text{square root } (0.25/400)$. This margin of error is calculated in the most conservative way. The standard error was assumed to be the greatest for a binomial distribution: 50%/50%.

Weighting Scheme for the City of Bettendorf Citizen Survey

Respondent Characteristics	Population Norm⁷	Unweighted Survey Data	Weighted Survey Data
Tenure			
Rent Home	23%	12%	23%
Own Home	77%	88%	77%
Type of Housing Unit			
Single-Family Detached	70%	78%	75%
Attached	30%	22%	25%
Ethnicity			
Non-Hispanic	97%	98%	98%
Hispanic	3%	2%	2%
Race			
White/Caucasian	95%	96%	96%
Non-White	5%	4%	4%
Gender			
Female	52%	53%	52%
Male	48%	47%	48%
Age			
18-34	25%	11%	25%
35-54	45%	37%	44%
55+	30%	52%	31%
Gender and Age			
Females 18-34	12%	6%	12%
Females 35-54	23%	22%	23%
Females 55+	17%	26%	17%
Males 18-34	13%	5%	13%
Males 35-54	22%	16%	22%
Males 55+	13%	26%	13%

⁷ Source: 2000 Census

APPENDIX C: SURVEY MATERIALS

The following pages contain copies of the survey materials sent to randomly selected households within the City of Bettendorf. All households selected for inclusion in the study were first sent a prenotification postcard informing them that they would be receiving a questionnaire within the following week. A week later, a cover letter and survey were sent, with a postage paid return envelope. Two weeks later a second cover letter and survey were sent. The second cover letter asked that those who had responded not do so again, while urging those who had not yet returned their surveys to please do so.



1609 State Street • Bettendorf, Iowa 52722-4937

Presorted
First Class Mail
US Postage
PAID
Boulder, CO
Permit NO. 94



1609 State Street • Bettendorf, Iowa 52722-4937

Presorted
First Class Mail
US Postage
PAID
Boulder, CO
Permit NO. 94



1609 State Street • Bettendorf, Iowa 52722-4937

Presorted
First Class Mail
US Postage
PAID
Boulder, CO
Permit NO. 94



1609 State Street • Bettendorf, Iowa 52722-4937

Presorted
First Class Mail
US Postage
PAID
Boulder, CO
Permit NO. 94

Dear City of Bettendorf Resident,

Your household has been selected at random to participate in an anonymous citizen survey about the City of Bettendorf. You will receive a copy of the survey next week in the mail with instructions for completing and returning it. Thank you in advance for helping us with this important project!

Sincerely,



Decker Ploehn
City Administrator

Dear City of Bettendorf Resident,

Your household has been selected at random to participate in an anonymous citizen survey about the City of Bettendorf. You will receive a copy of the survey next week in the mail with instructions for completing and returning it. Thank you in advance for helping us with this important project!

Sincerely,



Decker Ploehn
City Administrator

Dear City of Bettendorf Resident,

Your household has been selected at random to participate in an anonymous citizen survey about the City of Bettendorf. You will receive a copy of the survey next week in the mail with instructions for completing and returning it. Thank you in advance for helping us with this important project!

Sincerely,



Decker Ploehn
City Administrator

Dear City of Bettendorf Resident,

Your household has been selected at random to participate in an anonymous citizen survey about the City of Bettendorf. You will receive a copy of the survey next week in the mail with instructions for completing and returning it. Thank you in advance for helping us with this important project!

Sincerely,



Decker Ploehn
City Administrator



1609 State Street • Bettendorf, Iowa 52722-4937 • (563) 344-4000

November 2006

Dear Bettendorf Resident:

The City of Bettendorf wants to know what you think about our community and municipal government. You have been randomly selected to participate in Bettendorf's 2006 Citizen Survey.

Please take a few minutes to fill out the enclosed Citizen Survey. Your answers will help the City Council make decisions that affect our community. You should find the questions interesting and we will definitely find your answers useful. Please participate!

To get a representative sample of Bettendorf residents, the adult (anyone 18 years or older) in your household who most recently had a birthday should complete this survey. Year of birth of the adult does not matter.

Please have the appropriate member of the household spend a few minutes to answer all the questions and return the survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. **Your responses will remain completely anonymous.**

Your participation in this survey is very important – especially since your household is one of only a small number of households being surveyed. If you have any questions about the Citizen Survey please call 563-344-4033.

Please help us shape the future of Bettendorf. Thank you for your time and participation.

Sincerely,

Decker Ploehn
City Administrator



1609 State Street • Bettendorf, Iowa 52722-4937 • (563) 344-4000

November 2006

Dear City of Bettendorf Resident:

About one week ago, you should have received a copy of the enclosed survey. **If you completed it and sent it back, we thank you for your time and ask you to discard this survey. Please do not respond twice.** If you have not had a chance to complete the survey, we would appreciate your response. The City of Bettendorf wants to know what you think about our community and municipal government. You have been randomly selected to participate in the City of Bettendorf's Citizen Survey.

Please take a few minutes to fill out the enclosed Citizen Survey. Your answers will help Bettendorf City Council make decisions that affect our community. You should find the questions interesting and we will definitely find your answers useful. Please participate!

To get a representative sample of Bettendorf residents, the adult (anyone 18 years or older) in your household who most recently had a birthday should complete this survey. Year of birth of the adult does not matter.

Please have the appropriate member of the household spend a few minutes to answer all the questions and return the survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. **Your responses will remain completely anonymous.**

Your participation in this survey is very important – especially since your household is one of only a small number of households being surveyed. If you have any questions about the Citizen Survey please call 563-344-4033.

Please help us shape the future of Bettendorf. Thank you for your time and participation.

Sincerely,

Decker Ploehn
City Administrator

THE CITY OF BETTENDORF 2006 CITIZEN SURVEY

Please complete this questionnaire if you are the adult (age 18 or older) in the household who most recently had a birthday. The adult's year of birth does not matter. Please circle the response that most closely represents your opinion for each question. Your responses are anonymous and will be reported in group form only.

1. Please circle the number that comes closest to your opinion for each of the following questions:

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Don't know</u>
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to live?	1	2	3	4	5
How do you rate your neighborhood as a place to live?	1	2	3	4	5
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to raise children?	1	2	3	4	5
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to work?	1	2	3	4	5
How do you rate Bettendorf as a place to retire?	1	2	3	4	5
How do you rate the overall quality of life in Bettendorf?	1	2	3	4	5

2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Bettendorf as a whole:

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Don't know</u>
Sense of community	1	2	3	4	5
Openness and acceptance of the community towards people of diverse backgrounds	1	2	3	4	5
Overall appearance of Bettendorf.....	1	2	3	4	5
Opportunities to attend cultural activities.....	1	2	3	4	5
Shopping opportunities	1	2	3	4	5
Air quality	1	2	3	4	5
Recreational opportunities.....	1	2	3	4	5
Job opportunities	1	2	3	4	5
Access to affordable quality housing.....	1	2	3	4	5
Access to affordable quality child care.....	1	2	3	4	5
Access to affordable quality health care.....	1	2	3	4	5
Access to affordable quality food.....	1	2	3	4	5
Ease of car travel in Bettendorf	1	2	3	4	5
Ease of bus travel in Bettendorf	1	2	3	4	5
Ease of bicycle travel in Bettendorf	1	2	3	4	5
Ease of walking in Bettendorf	1	2	3	4	5
Educational opportunities	1	2	3	4	5
Overall image/reputation of Bettendorf.....	1	2	3	4	5
Overall quality of new development in Bettendorf.....	1	2	3	4	5

3. Please rate the speed of growth in the following categories in Bettendorf over the past 2 years:

	<u>Much too slow</u>	<u>Somewhat too slow</u>	<u>Right amount</u>	<u>Somewhat too fast</u>	<u>Much too fast</u>	<u>Don't know</u>
Population growth	1	2	3	4	5	6
Retail growth (stores, restaurants etc.).....	1	2	3	4	5	6
Jobs growth	1	2	3	4	5	6

4. To what degree, if at all, are the following problems in Bettendorf:

	Not a problem	Minor problem	Moderate problem	Major problem	Don't know
Crime	1	2	3	4	5
Drugs	1	2	3	4	5
Too much growth	1	2	3	4	5
Lack of growth	1	2	3	4	5
Graffiti	1	2	3	4	5
Noise.....	1	2	3	4	5
Run down buildings, weed lots, or junk vehicles	1	2	3	4	5
Taxes	1	2	3	4	5
Traffic congestion	1	2	3	4	5
Unsupervised youth	1	2	3	4	5
Homelessness	1	2	3	4	5
Weeds.....	1	2	3	4	5
Absence of communications from the City of Bettendorf translated into languages other than English.....	1	2	3	4	5
Unwanted local businesses	1	2	3	4	5
Toxic waste or other environmental hazard(s)	1	2	3	4	5

5. Please rate how safe you feel from the following occurring to you in Bettendorf:

	Very safe	Somewhat safe	Neither safe nor unsafe	Somewhat unsafe	Very unsafe	Don't know
Violent crime (e.g., rape, assault, robbery)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Property crimes (e.g., burglary, theft)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Fire	1	2	3	4	5	6

6. Please rate how safe you feel:

	Very safe	Somewhat safe	Neither safe nor unsafe	Somewhat unsafe	Very unsafe	Don't know
In your neighborhood during the day.....	1	2	3	4	5	6
In your neighborhood after dark	1	2	3	4	5	6
In Bettendorf's downtown area during the day	1	2	3	4	5	6
In Bettendorf's downtown area after dark.....	1	2	3	4	5	6
In Bettendorf's parks during the day	1	2	3	4	5	6
In Bettendorf's parks after dark.....	1	2	3	4	5	6

7. During the past twelve months, were you or anyone in your household the victim of any crime?

- No → Go to question #9 Yes → Go to question #8 Don't know

8. If yes, was this crime (these crimes) reported to the police?

- No Yes Don't know

9. In the last 12 months, about how many times, if ever, have you or other household members participated in the following activities in Bettendorf?

	Never	Once or twice	3 to 12 times	13 to 26 times	More than 26 times
Used Bettendorf public libraries or their services	1	2	3	4	5
Used Bettendorf Community Center.....	1	2	3	4	5
Participated in a recreation program or activity	1	2	3	4	5
Visited a neighborhood or City park	1	2	3	4	5
Ridden a local bus within Bettendorf	1	2	3	4	5
Attended a meeting of local elected officials or other local public meeting.....	1	2	3	4	5
Watched a meeting of local elected officials or other local public meeting on City's cable television Channel 9	1	2	3	4	5
Recycled used paper, cans or bottles from your home	1	2	3	4	5
Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Bettendorf.....	1	2	3	4	5
Read Bettendorf Newsletter	1	2	3	4	5
Used the Internet for anything	1	2	3	4	5
Used the Internet to conduct business with Bettendorf	1	2	3	4	5
Used Bettendorf's Family Museum.....	1	2	3	4	5
Used Bettendorf's Life Fitness Center.....	1	2	3	4	5

10. How do you rate the quality of each of the following services in Bettendorf?

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Don't know</u>
Police services.....	1	2	3	4	5
Fire services.....	1	2	3	4	5
Crime prevention.....	1	2	3	4	5
Fire prevention and education.....	1	2	3	4	5
Traffic enforcement.....	1	2	3	4	5
Garbage collection.....	1	2	3	4	5
Recycling.....	1	2	3	4	5
Yard waste pick-up.....	1	2	3	4	5
Street repair.....	1	2	3	4	5
Street cleaning.....	1	2	3	4	5
Street lighting.....	1	2	3	4	5
Snow removal.....	1	2	3	4	5
Sidewalk maintenance.....	1	2	3	4	5
Traffic signal timing.....	1	2	3	4	5
Amount of public parking.....	1	2	3	4	5
Bus/transit services.....	1	2	3	4	5
Storm drainage.....	1	2	3	4	5
Drinking water.....	1	2	3	4	5
Sewer services.....	1	2	3	4	5
City parks.....	1	2	3	4	5
Recreation programs or classes.....	1	2	3	4	5
Range/variety of recreation programs and classes.....	1	2	3	4	5
Recreation centers/facilities.....	1	2	3	4	5
Accessibility of parks.....	1	2	3	4	5
Accessibility of recreation centers/facilities.....	1	2	3	4	5
Appearance/maintenance of parks.....	1	2	3	4	5
Appearance of recreation centers/facilities.....	1	2	3	4	5
Land use, planning and zoning.....	1	2	3	4	5
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc).....	1	2	3	4	5
Animal control.....	1	2	3	4	5
Economic development.....	1	2	3	4	5
Health services.....	1	2	3	4	5
Services to seniors.....	1	2	3	4	5
Services to youth.....	1	2	3	4	5
Services to low-income people.....	1	2	3	4	5
Public library services.....	1	2	3	4	5
Variety of library materials.....	1	2	3	4	5
Public information services.....	1	2	3	4	5
Municipal courts.....	1	2	3	4	5
Public schools.....	1	2	3	4	5
Cable television.....	1	2	3	4	5

11. Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following?

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Don't know</u>
The City of Bettendorf.....	1	2	3	4	5
The Federal Government.....	1	2	3	4	5
The State Government.....	1	2	3	4	5

12. Have you had any in-person or phone contact with an employee of the City of Bettendorf within the last 12 months (including police, receptionists, planners or any others)?

- No → Go to question #14 Yes → Go to question #13

13. What was your impression of employees of the City of Bettendorf in your most recent contact? (Rate each characteristic below.)

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Don't know</u>
Knowledge.....	1	2	3	4	5
Responsiveness.....	1	2	3	4	5
Courtesy.....	1	2	3	4	5
Overall impression.....	1	2	3	4	5

14. Please rate the following statements by circling the number that most clearly represents your opinion:

	Strongly <u>agree</u>	Somewhat <u>agree</u>	Neither agree <u>nor disagree</u>	Somewhat <u>disagree</u>	Strongly <u>disagree</u>	Don't <u>know</u>
I receive good value for the City of Bettendorf taxes I pay	1	2	3	4	5	6
I am pleased with the overall direction that the City of Bettendorf is taking	1	2	3	4	5	6
The City of Bettendorf government welcomes citizen involvement	1	2	3	4	5	6
The City of Bettendorf government listens to citizens	1	2	3	4	5	6

15. What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:

- Very positive
 Somewhat positive
 Neutral
 Somewhat negative
 Very negative

Our last questions are about you and your household. Again, all of your responses to this survey are completely anonymous and will be reported in group form only.

16. Do you live within the City limits of the City of Bettendorf?

- No Yes

17. Are you currently employed?

- No → Go to question #18
 Yes → Go to question #17a

17a. What one method of transportation do you usually use (for the longest distance of your commute) to travel to work?

- Motorized vehicle (e.g. car, truck, van, motorcycle etc...)
 Bus, Rail, Subway, or other public transportation
 Walk
 Work at home
 Other

17b. If you checked the motorized vehicle (e.g. car, truck, van, motorcycle, etc.) box in 17a, do other people (adults or children) usually ride with you to or from work?

- No Yes

18. How many years have you lived in Bettendorf?

- Less than 2 years 11-20 years
 2-5 years More than 20 years
 6-10 years

19. Which best describes the building you live in?

- One family house detached from any other houses
 House attached to one or more houses (e.g., a duplex or townhome)
 Building with two or more apartments or condominiums
 Mobile home
 Other

20. Is this house, apartment, or mobile home...

- Rented for cash or occupied without cash payment?
 Owned by you or someone in this house with a mortgage or free and clear?

21. Do any children 12 or under live in your household?

- No Yes

22. Do any teenagers aged between 13 and 17 live in your household?

- No Yes

23. Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older?

- No Yes

24. Does any member of your household have a physical handicap or is anyone disabled?

- No Yes

25. What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed? (mark one box)

- 12th Grade or less, no diploma
 High school diploma
 Some college, no degree
 Associate's degree (e.g. AA, AS)
 Bachelor's degree (e.g. BA, AB, BS)
 Graduate degree or professional degree

26. How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money from all sources for all persons living in your household.)

- Less than \$24,999
 \$25,000 to \$49,999
 \$50,000 to \$99,999
 \$100,000 or more

27. Are you Spanish/Hispanic/Latino?

- No Yes

28. What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race you consider yourself to be)

- American Indian or Alaskan native
 Asian or Pacific Islander
 Black, African American
 White/Caucasian
 Other

29. In which category is your age?

- 18-24 years 55-64 years
 25-34 years 65-74 years
 35-44 years 75 years or older
 45-54 years

30. What is your sex?

- Female Male

31. Are you registered to vote in your jurisdiction?

- No Yes Don't know

32. Did you vote in the last election?

- No Yes Don't know

33. Are you likely to vote in the next election?

- No Yes Don't know

**Thank you for completing this survey. Please return the completed survey in the postage paid envelope to:
National Research Center, Inc., 3005 30th St., Boulder, CO 80301**



1609 State Street • Bettendorf, Iowa 52722-4937

Presorted
First Class Mail
US Postage
PAID
Boulder, CO
Permit NO.94

