



THE NCSTM
The National Citizen SurveyTM

Bettendorf, IA

Trends over Time

2018



NRC

National Research Center Inc

2955 Valmont Road Suite 300
Boulder, Colorado 80301
n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863

ICMA

Leaders at the Core of Better Communities

777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500
Washington, DC 20002
icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2018 ratings for the City of Bettendorf to its previous survey results in 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Bettendorf represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being “higher” or “lower” if the differences are greater than six percentage points between the 2016 and 2018 surveys, otherwise the comparisons between 2016 and 2018 are noted as being “similar.” Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in Bettendorf for 2018 generally remained stable. Of the 124 items for which comparisons were available, 105 items were rated similarly in 2016 and 2018; four items showed a decrease in ratings and 15 showed an increase in ratings. Notable trends over time included the following:

- Most aspects of Community Characteristics remained stable; however two were trending down and six were trending up from 2016 to 2018. While Bettendorf residents gave lower ratings to travel by public transportation than in 2016, they viewed traffic flow in the city more favorably in 2018. Within Built Environment, ratings increased for the overall quality of new development in Bettendorf and for public places where people like to spend time. However, ratings decreased for the availability of affordable quality housing in 2018. Ratings for religious or spiritual events or activities rebounded to levels last seen in 2014 after a decrease in 2016. Ratings also rebounded for the openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds after a large decrease in 2016; however they were not yet back to 2014 levels.
- Within the pillar of Governance, one aspect of Safety (crime prevention) was trending down from 2016 to 2018, and ratings for emergency preparedness increased during this same time period. No other aspects of Governance decreased in 2018. Bettendorf residents gave more positive ratings to sidewalk maintenance, recycling, sewer services, special events and the overall quality of services provided by the Federal Government in 2018 than in 2016.
- Almost all aspects of Participation remained stable over time. Fewer Bettendorf residents reported that they had participated in a club in 2016 compared to 2018. More respondents reported that they worked in Bettendorf and had the highest levels of optimism about their personal economic futures since the question was first asked on the 2006 survey.

The National Citizen Survey™

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)							2018 rating compared to 2016	Comparison to benchmark						
	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Overall quality of life	94%	95%	95%	96%	95%	93%	95%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher
Overall image	91%	93%	92%	94%	97%	92%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
Place to live	96%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Neighborhood	86%	88%	90%	90%	91%	92%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar
Place to raise children	96%	96%	96%	98%	97%	97%	98%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Place to retire	63%	70%	76%	73%	76%	72%	76%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Higher
Overall appearance	83%	88%	91%	88%	92%	88%	92%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher

Table 2: Community Characteristics by Facet

		Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)							2018 rating compared to 2016	Comparison to benchmark						
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Safety	Overall feeling of safety	NA	NA	NA	NA	95%	95%	92%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Similar
	Safe in neighborhood	98%	98%	99%	98%	97%	99%	97%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Safe downtown/commercial area	91%	89%	94%	90%	88%	92%	89%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Mobility	Overall ease of travel	NA	NA	NA	NA	90%	91%	91%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Paths and walking trails	NA	72%	81%	86%	93%	88%	91%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher
	Ease of walking	83%	76%	82%	87%	89%	89%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Travel by bicycle	74%	62%	72%	77%	86%	78%	83%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Much higher
	Travel by public transportation	NA	NA	NA	NA	69%	59%	53%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Similar
	Travel by car	87%	89%	88%	90%	90%	89%	92%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Public parking	NA	NA	NA	NA	83%	81%	84%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Traffic flow	74%	75%	76%	75%	80%	76%	82%	Higher	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Much higher

The National Citizen Survey™

		Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)							2018 rating compared to 2016	Comparison to benchmark							
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	
Natural Environment	Overall natural environment	NA	83%	89%	89%	94%	91%	92%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	
	Cleanliness	NA	89%	94%	90%	93%	90%	91%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
Built Environment	Overall built environment	NA	NA	NA	NA	86%	82%	83%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	New development in Bettendorf	82%	83%	82%	81%	68%	72%	78%	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Higher	
	Affordable quality housing	53%	55%	65%	64%	59%	56%	47%	Lower	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Housing options	NA	67%	73%	74%	77%	73%	72%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Public places	NA	NA	NA	NA	88%	77%	83%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Higher	
	Overall economic health	NA	NA	NA	NA	90%	89%	90%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	NA	NA	NA	NA	32%	25%	28%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Lower	Lower	
Economy	Business and services	NA	75%	78%	75%	77%	72%	78%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Cost of living	NA	NA	NA	NA	56%	59%	53%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Shopping opportunities	47%	46%	48%	54%	63%	56%	56%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Employment opportunities	37%	43%	36%	49%	55%	54%	58%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Place to visit	NA	NA	NA	NA	69%	64%	67%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Place to work	70%	78%	75%	77%	83%	81%	82%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Recreation and Wellness	Health and wellness	NA	NA	NA	NA	92%	87%	92%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
		Mental health care	NA	NA	NA	NA	64%	48%	48%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Similar
		Preventive health services	NA	NA	NA	NA	84%	78%	83%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
		Health care	73%	NA	76%	NA	82%	78%	79%	Similar	Much higher	NA	Much higher	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
Recreational opportunities		72%	71%	75%	77%	88%	78%	81%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
Fitness opportunities		NA	NA	NA	NA	94%	85%	91%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher	
Education and Enrichment	Education and enrichment opportunities	NA	NA	NA	NA	92%	87%	94%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Religious or spiritual events and activities	NA	NA	NA	NA	90%	82%	90%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Cultural/arts/music activities	52%	NA	43%	52%	68%	63%	65%	Similar	Similar	NA	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	

The National Citizen Survey™

	Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)							2018 rating compared to 2016	Comparison to benchmark							
	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	
	Adult education	NA	NA	NA	NA	79%	77%	75%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
	K-12 education	93%	NA	92%	95%	96%	94%	95%	Similar	Much higher	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher
	Child care/preschool	65%	NA	68%	NA	78%	69%	65%	Similar	Much higher	NA	Much higher	NA	Much higher	Higher	Higher
Community Engagement	Social events and activities	NA	NA	70%	74%	75%	72%	75%	Similar	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Neighborhoodness	NA	NA	NA	NA	77%	72%	77%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Openness and acceptance	62%	64%	70%	71%	77%	61%	70%	Higher	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	NA	74%	75%	74%	76%	67%	73%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Opportunities to volunteer	NA	81%	81%	78%	82%	74%	74%	Similar	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar

Table 3: Governance General

	Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)							2018 rating compared to 2016	Comparison to benchmark						
	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Services provided by Bettendorf	89%	89%	91%	91%	91%	92%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Customer service	86%	84%	86%	83%	86%	89%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Higher
Value of services for taxes paid	72%	73%	77%	76%	76%	74%	72%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Overall direction	74%	78%	76%	84%	83%	82%	81%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Welcoming citizen involvement	70%	62%	67%	67%	74%	68%	74%	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Confidence in City government	NA	NA	NA	NA	78%	77%	79%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
Acting in the best interest of Bettendorf	NA	NA	NA	NA	80%	79%	79%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
Being honest	NA	NA	NA	NA	81%	78%	79%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
Treating all residents fairly	NA	NA	NA	NA	75%	75%	77%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
Services provided by the Federal Government	48%	47%	42%	40%	38%	39%	46%	Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar

The National Citizen Survey™

Table 4: Governance by Facet

		Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)							2018 rating compared to 2016	Comparison to benchmark							
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	
Safety	Police services	90%	92%	93%	93%	95%	94%	92%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Fire	94%	92%	96%	95%	98%	97%	97%	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Ambulance/EMS	NA	NA	96%	97%	98%	96%	96%	Similar	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Crime prevention	83%	88%	89%	92%	93%	90%	84%	Lower	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Fire prevention	91%	88%	91%	93%	94%	92%	87%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	
	Emergency preparedness	NA	NA	79%	76%	75%	78%	86%	Higher	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Higher	
Mobility	Traffic enforcement	79%	79%	83%	83%	86%	80%	80%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Street repair	58%	45%	62%	64%	59%	61%	61%	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Street cleaning	75%	72%	77%	77%	80%	79%	82%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Street lighting	69%	73%	77%	74%	79%	81%	79%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Snow removal	86%	80%	89%	88%	92%	87%	89%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	
	Sidewalk maintenance	74%	70%	81%	76%	79%	75%	81%	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Traffic signal timing	63%	65%	66%	69%	68%	68%	70%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Higher	
	Bus or transit services	78%	67%	72%	79%	78%	71%	71%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
	Natural Environment	Garbage collection	92%	92%	95%	93%	92%	94%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Higher
		Recycling	91%	87%	92%	92%	90%	84%	96%	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher
Yard waste pick-up		87%	82%	90%	87%	87%	89%	92%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	
Natural areas preservation		NA	NA	67%	69%	67%	67%	68%	Similar	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Open space		NA	NA	NA	NA	74%	74%	72%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Built Environment	Storm drainage	73%	74%	79%	81%	83%	79%	85%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	

The National Citizen Survey™

		Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)							2018 rating compared to 2016	Comparison to benchmark						
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
	Sewer services	85%	81%	86%	86%	90%	83%	89%	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Higher
	Utility billing	NA	NA	NA	NA	83%	79%	81%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Land use, planning and zoning	61%	62%	66%	67%	73%	69%	70%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Code enforcement	66%	59%	70%	69%	66%	66%	67%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Cable television	57%	NA	60%	60%	55%	49%	53%	Similar	Higher	NA	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Economy	Economic development	68%	60%	65%	69%	77%	70%	76%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Recreation and Wellness	City parks	94%	94%	96%	95%	94%	93%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Recreation programs	86%	86%	90%	87%	90%	86%	87%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Recreation centers	79%	NA	87%	85%	90%	83%	87%	Similar	Much higher	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher
	Health services	79%	NA	NA	NA	86%	82%	83%	Similar	Much higher	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
Education and Enrichment	Special events	NA	NA	NA	NA	83%	70%	81%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Public libraries	95%	97%	96%	95%	94%	96%	95%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar
Community Engagement	Public information	83%	83%	80%	85%	86%	82%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher

Table 5: Participation General

	Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)							2018 rating compared to 2016	Comparison to benchmark						
	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Sense of community	78%	82%	82%	82%	84%	81%	76%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar
Recommend Bettendorf	NA	96%	95%	98%	97%	97%	96%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Remain in Bettendorf	NA	92%	90%	90%	93%	95%	93%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Contacted Bettendorf employees	55%	55%	50%	47%	44%	45%	40%	Similar	NA	Lower	Much lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar

The National Citizen Survey™

Table 6: Participation by Facet

		Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)							2018 rating compared to 2016	Comparison to benchmark						
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Safety	Did NOT report a crime	NA	NA	NA	NA	85%	87%	82%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Higher	Similar
	Was NOT the victim of a crime	91%	92%	95%	96%	93%	91%	92%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Mobility	Used bus or other public transportation instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	NA	4%	6%	4%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower
	Carpooled instead of driving alone	NA	NA	NA	NA	40%	35%	37%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Walked or biked instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	NA	52%	51%	57%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Natural Environment	Recycled at home	90%	91%	89%	90%	94%	90%	94%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Built Environment	Did NOT observe a code violation	NA	NA	NA	NA	63%	63%	61%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	NOT under housing cost stress	NA	NA	83%	81%	81%	82%	81%	Similar	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Economy	Purchased goods or services in Bettendorf	NA	NA	NA	NA	99%	97%	98%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Economy will have positive impact on income	31%	13%	20%	26%	28%	25%	40%	Higher	NA	Much lower	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Work in Bettendorf	NA	NA	NA	NA	30%	27%	34%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Lower	Similar
Recreation and Wellness	Used Bettendorf recreation centers	28%	30%	61%	62%	66%	65%	64%	Similar	NA	Much lower	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Visited a City park	92%	92%	88%	90%	88%	84%	85%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	NA	NA	NA	NA	80%	80%	86%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	NA	NA	NA	NA	84%	85%	85%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	In very good to excellent health	NA	NA	NA	NA	63%	64%	63%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Education and Enrichment	Used Bettendorf public libraries	85%	88%	84%	86%	76%	75%	74%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Higher
	Attended a City-sponsored event	NA	NA	NA	NA	52%	46%	50%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar

The National Citizen Survey™

		Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)							2018 rating compared to 2016	Comparison to benchmark						
		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018		2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Community Engagement	Contacted Bettendorf elected officials	NA	NA	NA	NA	15%	13%	15%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Volunteered	45%	12%	44%	40%	37%	37%	34%	Similar	NA	Much lower	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Participated in a club	NA	NA	28%	30%	27%	32%	25%	Lower	NA	NA	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Talked to or visited with neighbors	NA	NA	NA	NA	95%	95%	95%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Done a favor for a neighbor	NA	NA	NA	NA	90%	87%	86%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Attended a local public meeting	22%	23%	25%	23%	16%	19%	15%	Similar	NA	Much lower	Lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Watched a local public meeting	50%	50%	40%	37%	25%	19%	20%	Similar	NA	Higher	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Read or watched local news	NA	NA	NA	NA	93%	91%	87%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Voted in local elections	76%	NA	82%	80%	84%	82%	85%	Similar	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar